

Crestline Neighborhood Association
Meeting Minutes
August 27, 2026
6:00 p.m., Fellowship Hall
Hope Community Church

Call to order by President Todd Russell.

Roll Call: Please sign in on sheets at back.

28 people were present.

Don Ferguson made a motion to amend the Agenda. He would like to include a discussion of properties at 633, 637 and 641 Hagood Street. And additionally, he would like to add a clarification of "just exactly who we are as a Neighborhood Association to get that right once and for all." Todd asked him if it would work under New Business. Don replied no. The motion to add Don's amendment to the Agenda was seconded by Lee Shook. 15 people were in favor. 1 person abstained.

A motion was made to accept the Minutes of the last meeting of July 23, 2026 by Florence Renfroe. They Kathy Wales seconded it. 10 people were in favor and no one was opposed.

Police Report:

Officer Smiley from the East Precinct spoke to us. He gave an update about the vacant Winn-Dixie property. They have been in contact with Aldi about trash issues at the site. He also discussed problems about trespassing issues that they are still dealing with. There was also discussion about the current drone use in connection with the patrol cars, intended for use with robberies and stolen vehicles. Their department is fully staffed.

City Council:

India Bailey reported that since our last meeting they have gotten a lot of emails and phone calls about the upkeep (and the lack thereof) for Crestline Park. Hunter & India have recognized that Public Works is overburdened and not able to keep up with the schedule needed. Hunter & India have hired a private contractor to come out and cut the park every 2 weeks. So, you should see better results. If not, please continue to email her about it. Todd asked whether it is supplemental, or whether the Association is taking over for the city. India replied that it is supplemental.

She also reported that due to recent storms the trail has had a lot of tree damage, especially in the area behind Mountindale. Hunter & India have hired a private contractor who was responsible for building the trail because Public Works cannot handle a job of that size. She said that the contractor will remove the trees in the creek as well.

Regarding the issue of the Winn-Dixie property, the Mayor, Hunter and India talked about the Winn-Dixie property problems, the Purple Onion problems, and the homeless encampment at Fairhaven. The mayor said he would work on all of these issues and understands they are important to our neighborhood. Regarding the owners of vacant buildings where homeless frequent, India said the mayor is working on an affidavit for the Florida property owner to sign so police can enforce trespassing and remove the homeless people. Regarding the neighborhood's homeless problems, there isn't much more Hunter's office can do, but they will continue to work on it. Enforcement is handled by the mayor's office. The City Council has passed ordinances, but the mayor's office is responsible for enforcement. Residents asked if citizens could help to encourage City enforcement. India recommended contacting the mayor's office repeatedly to report the problems and ask that ordinances are enforced.

India was asked if the city has any idea when neighborhood elections can resume. She said she has no new update even though she and Hunter have checked about it many times. There was further discussion about this.

Todd mentioned that some of the Greenway plants are starting to die. India said that the contractors are not responsible for maintenance at this point. But we have a backup plan for that.

Todd told India that the FEMA land along the curve on Mountindale has weeds chest high. India asked him to send her the address and she will make sure it gets taken care of.

Members thanked India for all the hard work she has done about the Greenway Trail.

Crestline Park Community Foundation:

Before Tanner spoke, Todd thanked John Trott for all the time he has spent mowing the grass in Crestline Park when it really needed it. John said he did it on behalf of the Foundation.

Tanner gave a report about the success of the Yoga Classes in the park. He said the next Yoga Class will be on September 19th instead of the 12th.

Additional events with no specific date for October (depending on how things work out) are a potential 5k and movie night. Someone reached out to the Foundation about having a mahjong day in the park. The response has been favorable and they are looking into how to pull that off. He announced their new Vice President. Her name is Maggie Gann. She has lived here with her family for 5 years. He said she worked for a healthcare startup in New York. She is currently working on the new Foundation website.

Tanner originally planned to give an update on a potential new development on Hagood Street. He reminded everyone that the Foundation was founded to help fight the Silverstein dilemma and to note how similar the two situations are. The Foundation was to be used as a tool to donate, organize, and support the neighborhood in order to prevent people from over-developing and taking advantage of the neighborhood. However, Tanner was not going to make a statement at this time due to the August 26th letter from Dagney Johnson which is a "Formal Demand for Removal from Meeting Agenda, Correction of False Statements, and Cessation of Unauthorized Public Discussion" to both the Foundation and the CNA. Instead, he will read the letter received as the Foundation's update.

Apryl Marie Fogel made a point of order that the CNA body voted to amend the agenda at the beginning of the meeting to talk about the three properties on Hagood despite Dagney Johnson, Dave Burt, or anyone's request not to discuss it. Because of the body's vote, the CNA will exercise their right to discuss it. There were discussions of neighbors being offended by the letter. Amy McMullen made a motion to add the complete letter into the minutes. It was seconded by Don Ferguson. The vote was all in favor.

Neighbors appreciated the foundation bringing any potential new developments to the CNA's attention. The CNA discussed at length, as well as being documented in our minutes, that members want all information brought to us ASAP while in development and not wait until something is filed with the city. The secret meeting was the first problem with Silverstein. He showed conceptual plans to a small group. The information was not circulated or discussed. People were told to keep quiet until a plan was filed with the city. We've talked about these past problems at length since that happened. We didn't want information to be suppressed again. The plans that were shared were clearly marked as "conceptual" with no confusion that it is a final plan. If the CNA wants to discuss it, we will despite the letter trying to silence neighbors.

John Trott said that nobody signed a non-disclosure statement about the proposed development or conceptual plans.

Dagney Johnson's letter is as follows (Unedited; errors are in the original):

August 26, 2026

VIA EMAIL

Board of Directors
Crestline Park Community Foundation

Board of Directors
Crestline Park Neighborhood Association

Re: 633 Hagood Street — Formal Demand for Removal from Meeting Agenda, Correction of False Statements, and Cessation of Unauthorized Public Discussion

Dear Board Members:

I am writing in my personal capacity as an owner of the property located at 633 Hagood Street and I understand that my name, my husband's name, our property, and an alleged "project" may be placed on the agenda for tomorrow evening's meeting, this matter requires your immediate attention.

My husband and I have considered various options concerning our property, including the possibility of demolishing the existing residence and redeveloping the property. In connection with that private consideration, we had preliminary concepts professionally prepared. As a courtesy, we showed those preliminary concepts to a limited number of neighboring property owners.

Those materials were not final plans. They had not been approved by us as a final development plan. They had not been submitted to the City of Birmingham, the Crestline Park Community Foundation, or the Crestline Park Neighborhood Association. There is no pending rezoning application, subdivision request, variance request, permitting application, development agreement, or other formal project concerning 633 Hagood Street presently before the City or either organization nor are there any agreements signed privately between any entity referenced in the various misquotes.

Nevertheless, a member of one of these boards, to whom the preliminary concepts had been shown, provided those materials to both organizations without our knowledge or permission and without first speaking to either me or my husband. Thereafter, individuals contacted the City of Birmingham zoning department, identified me personally and identified our home address, and made inquiries as though we were pursuing a concealed development project.

No representative of either organization contacted me or my husband before those communications were made. No one asked whether the concepts were final. No one asked whether anything had been submitted to the City. No one asked whether a development project actually existed. Instead, preliminary materials circulated without our authorization were treated as fact, and speculation was allowed to replace even the most basic effort to obtain accurate information directly from the property owners.

The situation has now escalated to the Crestline Park Facebook page, where inaccurate, speculative, and damaging statements concerning me, my husband, and our property have been publicly repeated. Last night, I went onto the Facebook page and directly informed the community that there is no pending or filed project concerning 633 Hagood Street. I also stated that, should we ultimately decide to pursue a development requiring municipal or neighborhood review, we would present accurate information through the appropriate channels in a timely and thoughtful manner.

Despite that direct correction, individuals continued today to berate me publicly and to present speculation as fact. I have now learned that a request has been made to add me and/or the alleged project to the agenda for tomorrow night's public meeting.

There is no pending matter for either organization to consider. I have not requested a hearing, presentation, recommendation, approval, or other action from either organization or the City of Birmingham. I have not consented to being placed on the agenda. I will not participate in a public proceeding organized for the purpose of requiring me to answer rumors, unauthorized disclosures, or false accusations about a project that is not presently pending before anyone.

I therefore demand that any proposed agenda item concerning me, my husband, 633 Hagood Street, or an alleged development project at that address be removed immediately.

I am also deeply concerned by the Foundation's letter demanding that my husband resign from the Crestline Park Community Foundation Board based on the accusation that he lied concerning whether our property was for sale. That is an extremely strong accusation.

That accusation is false. I find it interesting that the Crestline Park Foundation Board is acting as if it is the arm and authority over zoning instead of the Crestline Park Neighborhood Association. I did not understand that the Foundation had those powers.

The text being cited was written by **me**, not by my husband, and was sent by me to Luke Hayes who was a willing participant in our initial talks and who involved another member in one of the boards in negotiating on his behalf. It does not state that our house was listed for sale. It does not state that final plans existed. It does not state that an application had been filed with the City. It does not state that a development project was pending before either organization. It does not contradict a statement that the property was not for sale. I would ask that scrutiny also be leveled against the individuals publishing these texts who have their own ulterior motives and agendas. I repeat this house is not for sale.

The message reflected a private conversation with a neighbor concerning whether he wished to participate in our consideration of what we might do with our property. My use of the word "project" in a private text was not a representation that a formal development project had been filed, approved, or placed before the City. It referred generally to our intention, at that time, to move forward with decisions concerning our own property regardless of whether that particular neighbor wished to participate. If these outside parties would like to share all of the text and emails of their request of us I would find that exceedingly beneficial to the conversation so that the parties claiming to have knowledge of anything will in fact have the complete record at their disposal not the onesided facts currently being exhibited.

The text is now being taken out of context and materially mischaracterized. More importantly, a text sent by me cannot reasonably serve as evidence that my husband made a false statement. The demand that he resign from the Foundation Board appears to have been made without any meaningful investigation, without asking either of us for an explanation, and without identifying any actual false statement made by him.

Private consideration of what homeowners may eventually do with their property is not the same as a pending development project. Showing preliminary concepts to neighbors as a courtesy does not authorize those concepts to be publicly circulated, presented to third parties as final plans, or used as the basis for accusations of deception or misconduct.

Accordingly, I demand that the Crestline Park Community Foundation and the Crestline Park Neighborhood Association take the following actions immediately:

1. **Remove from the August 27, 2026 meeting agenda** any item concerning me, my husband, 633 Hagood Street, or any alleged project at that address.

2. **Refrain from conducting or facilitating a public discussion** concerning us or our property based upon preliminary plans circulated without our authorization, rumors, speculation, or accusations of dishonesty.
3. **Notify all board members and meeting organizers in writing** that there is no pending development application, rezoning request, variance request, subdivision request, or other formal project concerning 633 Hagood Street before the City or either organization.
4. **Publish an immediate correction** on any Facebook page, email list, website, or other communication platform controlled or administered by either organization stating that the materials circulated concerning 633 Hagood Street were preliminary concepts, that they were circulated without the property owners' permission, and that no development application or other formal project is pending.
5. **Remove or correct any false factual statement** published by either organization or by any officer, director, board member, administrator, or authorized representative acting on its behalf.
6. **Withdraw the demand that my husband resign from the Foundation Board** and retract the accusation that he lied. If the Foundation refuses to do so, it should immediately identify the precise statement allegedly made by him, the person to whom it was allegedly made, the evidence supporting the accusation, and the specific provision of the Foundation's bylaws or policies allegedly violated.
7. **Cease any further reproduction or distribution of the preliminary plans** and instruct all persons who received them that they were not final plans and were not authorized for public circulation.
8. **Provide us with copies of all communications** in which our names, our address, the preliminary plans, or an alleged development project were transmitted to the City of Birmingham, board members, neighborhood residents, Facebook administrators, or any other third party.
9. **Preserve all evidence** concerning this matter, including emails, text messages, social-media posts and comments, direct messages, screenshots, meeting notes, recordings, correspondence, and communications with the City of Birmingham. No such material should be deleted, altered, edited, or destroyed.

Please provide written confirmation no later than **12:00 noon on August 27, 2026**, that the agenda item has been removed, that no discussion concerning us or an alleged project will occur at tomorrow night's meeting, and that the Foundation and Association will undertake the corrective actions requested above.

Should either organization refuse to remove the matter from the agenda, I demand that this letter be distributed to every board member before the meeting, read into the record in full before any discussion begins, and attached to the official minutes. It must also be affirmatively stated at the outset that no development application or other formal project concerning 633 Hagood Street is presently pending before the City or either organization.

This letter is not intended to prevent legitimate discussion of general zoning or land-use policy. It is intended to stop the use of unauthorized preliminary materials and false factual assertions to target identifiable homeowners, damage their reputations, and manufacture a public controversy where no pending public matter exists.

My husband and I attempted to be courteous and transparent by privately showing preliminary concepts to neighboring property owners. That courtesy has been repaid with unauthorized disclosure, communications with the City made without any inquiry to us, public speculation, personal attacks,

distribution of one sided text messages and a baseless accusation that my husband lied. All while these individuals withhold their text and conversations for their own self gain. After receipt of this letter, neither organization nor any person acting on its behalf can credibly claim a lack of notice concerning the true status of the property. IT IS NOT FOR SALE NOR IS THERE A PROJECT PENDING.

The continued publication of false statements after this formal notice will be taken seriously. Nothing contained in this letter constitutes a waiver of any right, claim, remedy, or cause of action available to me or my husband and all such rights are expressly reserved.

Sincerely,
Dagney Johnson
205-937-6564

Todd read his email letter that was his response to Dagney's letter as follows:

Dagney,
Speaking for the CNA:

The agenda was set last Friday, with one emergency addition Monday. You, Dave, and 633 Hagood are not on it and never were. No one requested an agenda item about your property, and none will be added.

I verified with the city this week that nothing is filed on 633, 637, or 641 Hagood. If the subject comes up from the floor, that is what I will say. It is an open public meeting, so I can't promise what members raise in new business, but the chair will state the facts and keep it orderly, same as any other matter.

Todd Russell
President, Crestline Neighborhood Association

New Business:

Sherry-Lea Bloodworth reported on an item that needs to be voted on. It is about Hagood. The streets off of the main artery of Hagood average about 500 cars per day. Hagood averages 6,000 cars per day. The Mountain Brook motorcycle police officer at the corner of Euclid and Hagood averages 12 speeding tickets per hour. He's only stopping vehicles between Montevallo and Euclid on Greenbrier Lane (Hagood extension street).

The city is ready and willing to help us and wants to use our street as a case study for how effective BDOT's traffic ideas can be.

Today, the Traffic Committee is only asking for a vote to move forward with creating concepts for the proposal for BDOT's new traffic calming application.

Members also wanted to know more of what the application involves. She explained that the application for traffic calming is for Hagood Street from Kingsbury all the way to Montclair and for Hoadley Drive. There were further discussions about different traffic calming solutions and stop signs. Regarding stop signs, Sherry-Lea said there is a national code for traffic engineers about stop signs that have to be followed by law.

She went on to say that proposed eligible projects that can be included in the application (reflecting BDOT's standards and with the city transportation engineer's guidance) will be shared before the next meeting for discussion. Speed cushions will not be part of the recommendations due to negative feedback from the Crestline Neighborhood Association in the past.

A motion was made by John Trott and seconded by Irene Lynn to authorize the Traffic and Infrastructure Committee to submit an application to the Birmingham Department of Transportation's Calming

Program for the fall 2026 window, covering Hagood Street and Hoadley Drive---with the specific measures and any neighborhood cost share to be brought back to this Association for approval before any commitment is made. The vote count was all in favor except for one opposed .

The following document is included for further information:
Traffic & Infrastructure Committee — Report to the Membership

Crestline Neighborhood Association · August 27, 2026

Where we are. Birmingham’s Department of Transportation has rebuilt its Traffic Calming Program. Applications for the Fall 2026 cycle are open now and close **October 31**. Neighborhoods may submit **up to two projects**. Applications are reviewed together and scored on traffic data, crash history, equity, and proximity to schools and parks. Projects are jointly funded by the City and the neighborhood association. Submitting does not guarantee installation.

The City’s process has two steps. Step one is a vote at a neighborhood association meeting. Step two is the association submitting the application. **Tonight is step one**. We have not taken it since the program changed in January, and the window closes in nine weeks.

What the committee has done. Sherry-Lea Bloodworth has been working with BDOT on this since last fall and is completing the City’s application, along with a visual presentation of the proposed projects. Crosby Taylor prepared a detailed measures package for Hagood Street with supporting data and renderings. Caroline Bundy is out of town tonight. Crosby is in the hospital — he and his wife had a baby over the weekend, and we send them our congratulations.

What tonight’s vote does — and doesn’t do. It authorizes the committee to submit an application. It does not lock in which measures get built, and it does not commit any money. BDOT evaluates the request and tells us what they would actually build. The specific measures and any neighborhood cost share come back to this Association for a separate vote before anything is spent.

The standing priority. The most common safety concern raised in this neighborhood is speeding on Hagood Street. Our committee’s written priorities list, published in the October 23, 2025 meeting agenda and transmitted to BDOT by the City that same month, includes a pedestrian crossing at the church entrance on Hagood. That crossing remains on the committee’s list.

MOTION

That the Crestline Neighborhood Association authorize the Traffic and Infrastructure Committee to submit an application to the Birmingham Department of Transportation’s Traffic Calming Program for the Fall 2026 window, covering **Hagood Street** and **Hoadley Drive** — with the specific measures and any neighborhood cost share to be brought back to this Association for approval before any commitment is made.

Recorded in the minutes with the sign-in sheet, as the City’s process requires.

Submitted with the minutes: the committee’s application materials and visual presentation, Crosby Taylor’s Hagood measures package, and BDOT’s February 26, 2026 Crestline recommendations.

After the traffic application vote, Todd gave an update about the new traffic calming procedure. Six months ago, we had 9 choices for traffic calming and we were supposed to choose two. But we have a million traffic problems. So, we have been working with Forrest and we have a lot of stuff we have in place right now. We have a brand new crosswalk at Groover, at the trail head. We have stop signs along Royal at either side. Gladstone is now a 3-way. Dunston now has a stop sign, and it is planned to be a 3-way at some point. Dunston is connected to Gladstone by Royal Street. All streets that connect to Royal, which are Concord, Browning and Regal now have striping and stop signs at those intersections. We are still working on having a 3-way stop sign at Dunston and Royal. We are looking for a 4-way stop sign at Hoadley and Gladstone. We are looking for a 3-way at Strathmore and Gladstone. They have a new 20 mph speed-limit sign on Monarch, but the other end still has a 30 mph sign. He reminded people that there used to be a dip on Monarch where storm water would come down either way in front of Marion's driveway and go across the street to get to storm drains on Baker. When they repaved it after a new water main was installed there is no dip there anymore. So, stormwater accumulates there. We have been working on that for 5 years. Forrest Johnson said the dip in the road is complicated. There were further questions and discussions about stop signs.

New Business (Cont'd):

Todd reported that the owner at 626 Royal is asking for a variance that requires a vote from us. They are seeking a 13-foot rear setback instead of the existing 25 feet. The Zoning Committee has an almost unanimous vote in favor. John Trott visited the site in person and he gave a report. He said that he did not see anything wrong and the family needs what they are asking for. The owners of the property were present. Todd discussed with them that the old structure is a 15-foot easement along the back line and the drawn addition extends onto it by 2 feet. The owners acknowledged it. The zoning board can't grant relief from a recorded easement, so they are requesting us to vote. Todd explained that even if we grant permission, the issue may still pop up with the city. Todd asked for a motion for the vote. Apryl Marie made the motion and John Trott seconded it. The vote of the variance request was all in favor. The owner of the property gave additional details about the back yard situation and the members discussed it as well. There was confidence expressed that the variance will be approved by the zoning board.

Don Ferguson said that the Foundation got \$3,500 from the Crestline Neighborhood Association. He thinks that whatever money they spend, that they should get the Neighborhood Association to approve it. And assuming it is approved, they should get a full accounting for it. Todd explained that he thinks that the \$3,500 was 3 separate events at \$1,000 and one at \$500. John Trott, the Treasurer of the Foundation explained that will be done. He said they haven't gotten the money yet. He promised that there will be a change in transparency in this Neighborhood Association as well as in the Foundation. Don also wants to know why the neighborhood was not informed about the August 18th public meeting of the Southern Framework. This issue has been going on for over a year. Todd explained that our neighborhood has nothing to oppose or support about it. They are changing us from D3 to R3. We stated to them that we support the Highland Park neighborhood. Don was not happy that the neighborhood was not informed about it. Todd promised to post it on Facebook.

Don requested that the wording "Crestline Park Neighborhood Association" be changed to "Crestline Neighborhood Association" in the CNA website.

Zoning & Land Use Committee Report

Josh Goodwin reported that the City has confirmed in writing that the approved plan at 605 Hagood Street omits the curb, gutter, and storm sewer the regulations require—and no one at the city or at the church will name the document that makes that omission lawful.

New Business (Cont'd):

Hallie Gibbs reported that after Todd Russell resigned from the Crestline Park Community Foundation Board in May, she was asked to help the Foundation regain control of its website from him. The process was so difficult that the Foundation abandoned the site and started over with a new website and domain.

Because of that experience, Hallie later contacted Marion Barnett, CNA Secretary to help prevent a similar problem with the CNA website. She emphasized that multiple administrators are essential, since unexpected events can leave an organization locked out of its own site. Marion said Todd is currently the only administrator. She had previously asked him for admin access so she could post minutes accurately; he declined.

After extended discussion and questions from members, Todd stated that he created the website as a personal project. He said he did not request city funds and considers the site his property, not the CNA's. Members noted that when the CNA first discussed having a website, the understanding was that it would be the Association's site, managed by its officers. Todd's claim that it is a personal site about the CNA was new information to the group. Todd confirmed that he paid for the site himself and did not use or request CNA or Foundation funds. He said he would provide a fuller explanation at the September meeting, including his plans for developing websites for other neighborhoods.

Members discussed asking Todd to submit receipts for reimbursement and transfer the site to the CNA, while acknowledging he could not be required to do so. If he retains ownership, the site should clearly state that it is Todd Russell's personal website and does not represent the CNA.

Amy McMullen asked whether Todd would give Marion access to post minutes; he agreed. Amy then moved that officers be granted equal access to the current Crestline Neighborhood Association website, which Todd owns and has gifted to the Association. John Trott seconded the motion. The vote was 15 in favor, 1 opposed.

Residents discussed recent communication around a conceptual redevelopment plan of three Hagood properties originating from the owners of 633 Hagood Street to combine with the lots of 637 and 641 Hagood. There were concerns about not sharing information, traffic impacts, and the neighborhood's right to discuss matters that affect adjoining owners as well as the neighborhood.

Apryl Marie Fogel said the neighborhood had previously been "burned" when information was siloed. Residents should be able to share information with one another without being stifled, objected to cease-and-desist letters, lawsuit threats, or lengthy letters implying wrongdoing. Neighbors emphasized that a healthy neighborhood communicates openly and that discussing a plan as it develops is preferable to learning about a project only after it is a "done deal" and filed with the city.

A 16-house conceptual plan was posted on Facebook by Hallie Gibbs. It was noted the property owners denied on Facebook that it was a project in the works. Public transparency was requested. Adjacent owners said they felt personally affected by any such plans. Multiple speakers said no one present had done anything wrong by sharing information, and that nothing in prior conversations had been identified as confidential. Many neighbors felt there had been a concerted effort to keep plans from neighbors including the letter sent by the property owners to try to keep the CNA from discussing it. John Trott noted that the recent purchase of 633 Hagood appears registered to an LLC (identified in the discussion as Phoenix Oak LLC), not in the individual names of Dave Burt and Dagny Johnson. No homestead exemption appears to have been claimed. Hallie Gibbs reported the LLC was formed on November 4 and the property closed on November 10, 2025. An LLC purchase is usually an investment purchase rather than a homestead. John, whose property is next door to 633, said he had spoken months earlier with Mr. Humphreys of LAH about participating in a larger assemblage of 20 houses. John declined. He is not in favor of a multi-house development. Despite his proximity, the owners have not walked over to tell him what is going on.

Amy McMullen discussed recommending that anyone on the Zoning Committee with a conflict, including friendship or other close ties to the parties involved, not participate in related matters. It was noted there are potential conflicts of interest of Todd Russell, Dagney Johnson, and Dave Burt being on the CNA Zoning Committee. It was agreed that they should recuse themselves if activity continues before the next meeting.

Apryl Marie noted for the record that an anonymous party was sent with a video camera operator to record the meeting. She spoke to who might be watching the recording later that the neighborhood values our right to assemble, talk, and communicate; we will continue to discuss all matters involving our neighborhood. Neighbors stated that the meeting is public/open, recording is allowed, and that they will continue to exercise their rights.

Residents expressed concern about additional density on the Hagood corridor, including mention of roughly 16 cottages in an area with no sidewalks and limited ability to add traffic calming. Speakers cited neighborhood character, tree canopy, landscaping, and the area's history. Traffic volume on Hagood was described as already high. Frustration was expressed that new stop signs were installed on Concord and Browning, but not on Hagood.

Tadd Bailey asked if the owners at 633 Hagood have legally done anything wrong. Apryl Marie said they have not, and it is important to point out that no one in the room is saying there is any legal wrongdoing. Neighbors are sharing information, and not making formal allegations of legal wrongdoing. Todd Russell asked for an opinion from the CNA. He was told CNA review is triggered once permits are submitted. Todd is living in a "weird house" and his next door neighbor is in a "weird house." He has had lots of thoughts about tearing down both and combining the lots. He asked if he needs to tell the CNA about it now. It was discussed if one single family home was replacing it, no one would care. Replacing it with many smaller houses is different, which is what we are discussing. There have been allegations that CNA neighbors have violated the rights of the 633 Hagood owners by sharing information. That is what this discussion is about along with impacts of more houses added.

With no further business, Todd adjourned the meeting at 7:35 p.m. Our next meeting will be 9/24/26.

Submitted by Marion Barnett, Crestline NA Secretary

The Neighborhood Association is an administrator on the Facebook Page

[www.Facebook.com/WhatsHappeningInCrestlinePark](https://www.facebook.com/WhatsHappeningInCrestlinePark)

Our Crestline Neighborhood website can be found at www.crestlinena.org